Lab 8. Computation-aided design and simulation of DNA sensing circuit

I. Introduction

Nucleic acid detection is an important tool in molecular diagnostics, biosensing, and in-vivo labeling. Although traditional methods rely on enzyme-based signal amplification (e.g. PCR), this lab will discuss some detection methods which rely entirely on conformational (physical) changes in the nucleic acids. To achieve this, we exploit the specificity of nucleotide-nucleotide interactions; by changing the primary structure of an oligonucleotide (a short chain of DNA or RNA between \sim 10 and \sim 100 nucleotides), we can determine the presence and sometimes even the concentration of specific targets.

One of the earliest and simplest such systems is called "in-situ hybridization." These systems use just two oligonucleotides and rely on a physical phenomenon called FRET (Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer). Simply put, two oligonucleotides are covalently linked to two different fluorophores with unique excitation/emission spectra (Figure 1). In the absence of the target, the two fluorophores are free in solution; when one fluorophore is excited (e.g. cy3), we only observe that fluorophore's emission. In the present of the target nucleic acid sequence, however, the two strands will hybridize to the target or "scaffold" and hold their fluorophores close together. Now when cy3 is excited, some of the absorbed energy will be transferred to the cy5 molecule which will, in turn, also fluoresce. We will now observe both the emission spectrum of cy3 and that of cy5 (depending on the efficiency of energy transfer).

Figure 1. Illustration of in-situ hybridization. In solution, the fluorophores are too far apart for FRET; we only observe the spectrum of the fluorophore which is excited (cy3 here). In contrast, when the two fluorophores are colocalized on the scaffold strand, excitation at 500-550nm will cause both cy3 and cy5 to fluoresce due to FRET. Spectra from thermo fisher, illustration created with bio-render.

Computation-aided workflow for rapid prototyping and evaluating nucleic acids hybridizations. Today, many computational programs have been developed to facilitate the design of DNA hybridizations and to simulate the result. For example, we will use a free and online software NUPACK, which was developed by the Pierce Lab at CalTech.¹ NUPACK can also be downloaded and incorporated into a Python code for customized use. Other complimentary computational tools include Tiamat,² IDT biophysics³ and CanDo.⁴ Figure 2 shows the example of using NUPACK to simulate the folding, hybridization equilibrium of DNA/RNA structures.

II. Lab Goals

- a. Learn how to use NUPACK for the basic DNA/RNA calculation and simulation
- b. Identify and simulate folding structure of virus amplicons for diagnosis
- c. Design anti-amplicon probes
- d. Design in-situ hybridization
- e. Design strand displacement-triggered DPAC sensor

III. NUPACK for predicting and simulating nucleic acids structures

a. Nupack can be used online via http://www.nupack.org/. In Biochem-I, we have used NUPACK to simulate the melting temperature of dsDNA, do you still remember that?

b. SARS-COV2-viral amplicon

For molecular diagnostic applications it is first necessary to identify an appropriate target sequence. Due to homologies between virulent and benign species, these sequences must be chosen carefully to avoid false-positives. This can be an involved bioinformatic process. In nucleic acid diagnosis, viral amplicons are the unique and concervative segments (e.g. E and N gene in SARS-COV-2) that are shorter than a few hundred nucleotides.

Figure 3. SARS COV-2 genome. Diagnosis amplicons use the unque and conservative gene at E and N gene, coating for Envelope and Nucleocapsid. Pathogens 2020, 9(5), 331; https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9050331

Fortunately, target sequences have already been identified for many important pathogens. Table 1 lists the three virral amplicons from N gene for identifying SARS-COV-2 virus that causes COVID-19 pandemic.

Table 1. Three viral amplicones for identifying SARS-COV 2 virus. Red colors are forward primers and anti-reverse primers for the viral genome amplification by PCR. Green color is the probe sequence for detection.

Task 1. Use NUPACK to simulae the folding structure of RNA amplicons (N1, N2, N3) at 37 C. (You should have alrady done it in Lab 7)

Task 2. Evaluate anti-probe hybridizations for RNA amplicons (N1, N2, N3)

- a. Produce anti-probe sequence by using reverse complement tool at https://www.bioinformatics.org/sms/rev_comp.html
- E.g. N1 probe: 5'- CACCCCGCATTACGTTTGGTGGACCCT

Anti-N1 probe (reverse complement):5' - AGGGTCCACCAAACGTAATGCGGGGTG

Generate sequences for anti-probes below:

b. Evaluate anti-probe complement for hybridizing with viral amplicons.

(Note: If NUPACK simulation is failed in RNA mode, it can use DNA mode alternatively)

Generate hybridization structure to confirm the recognition of viral amplicon.

Task 3. Design in-situ hybridization for targeting probe sequences in N1, N2, N3 amplicons a. Split anti-probe reverse complement into "half-half"

E.g. N1 probe: 5'- CACCCCGCATTACGTTTGGTGGACCCT

Anti-N1 probe (reverse complement):5' – AGGGTCCACCAAACGTAATGCGGGGTG

Anti-N1-H1: AGGGTCCACCAAAC

Anti-N1-H2: GTAATGCGGGGTG

The two halves can serve as the detection sensors for in-situ hybridization described in Figure 1.

b. Use NUPACK to simulate the in situ hybridization of H1, H2 with RNA amplicon (N1).

c. Evaluate the yield and specificity of in situ hybridization

E.g. Anti-N1 H1/H2 target is N1, and if hybridized conc. is 1000 nM; Anti-N1 H1/H2 to N2 amplicon conc. is 10 nM; then specificity is $1000/10 = 100$.

Data report is required for Task 1, 2 , 3.

Reference

 (1) Zadeh, J. N.; Steenberg, C. D.; Bois, J. S.; Wolfe, B. R.; Pierce, M. B.; Khan, A. R.; Dirks, R. M.; Pierce, N. A. NUPACK: Analysis and design of nucleic acid systems. Journal of Computational Chemistry 2011, 32, 170-173.

 (2) Williams, S.; Lund, K.; Lin, C.; Wonka, P.; Lindsay, S.; Yan, H.: Tiamat: A Three-Dimensional Editing Tool for Complex DNA Structures. In DNA Computing; Goel, A., Simmel, F., Sosík, P., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009; Vol. 5347; pp 90-101.

 (3) Owczarzy, R.; Tataurov, A. V.; Wu, Y.; Manthey, J. A.; McQuisten, K. A.; Almabrazi, H. G.; Pedersen, K. F.; Lin, Y.; Garretson, J.; McEntaggart, N. O.; Sailor, C. A.; Dawson, R. B.; Peek, A. S. IDT SciTools: a suite for analysis and design of nucleic acid oligomers. Nucleic Acids Research 2008, 36, W163-W169.

 (4) Castro, C. E.; Kilchherr, F.; Kim, D.-N.; Shiao, E. L.; Wauer, T.; Wortmann, P.; Bathe, M.; Dietz, H. A primer to scaffolded DNA origami. Nat Meth 2011, 8, 221-229.